

Samuel Heins, Esq.
Bryan L. Crawford, Esq.
Daniel E. Gustafson, Esq.
HEINS, MILLS & OLSON, P.C.
3550 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Telephone: (612) 338-4605
Facsimile: (612) 338-4692

Marc H. Edelson, Esq.
HOFFMAN & EDELSON
45 West Court Street
Doylestown, PA 18901
Telephone: (215) 230-8043
Facsimile: (215) 230-8735

Kenneth A. Wexler, Esq.
Elizabeth Fegan Hartweg, Esq.
THE WEXLER FIRM
One North LaSalle Street, Suite 2000
Chicago, IL 60602
Telephone: (312) 346-2222
Facsimile: (312) 346-0022

Eugene A. Spector, Esq.
Jeffrey L. Kodroff, Esq.
John A. Macoretta, Esq.
SPECTOR, ROSEMAN & KODROFF, P.C.
1818 Market Street, Suite 2500
Philadelphia, PA 19103
Telephone: (215) 496-0300
Facsimile: (215) 496-6611

ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS

By /s/ Nicholas C. Theodorou
Nicholas C. Theodorou, Esq. (BBO# 496730)
Jessica Barnett, Esq. (BBO# 650535)
FOLEY HOAG, LLP
155 Seaport Boulevard
Boston, MA 02210

DATED: November 1, 2005

D. Scott Wise, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Kimberley Harris, Esq. (admitted *pro hac vice*)

DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL

450 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10017

Attorneys for Defendant AstraZeneca
Pharmaceuticals LP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I, Thomas M. Sobol, an attorney, caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing Status Report to be served on all counsel of record electronically on November 1, 2005 pursuant to Section D of Case Management Order No. 2.

/s/ Thomas M. Sobol

Thomas M. Sobol, Esq.

**HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO
LLP**

One Main Street, 4th Floor
Cambridge, MA 02142

Telephone: (617) 482-3700

Facsimile: (617) 482-3003

MDL 1456 STATUS CHART – GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Montana v. Abbott Labs., Inc. et al.	02-CV-12084-PBS	Removed to D. Mont.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> November 24, 2004 – Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Motion to Dismiss the State of Montana’s Second Amended Complaint <i>sub judice</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> December 8, 2004 – State of Montana’s Opposition to Motion to Dismiss the State of Montana’s Second Amended Complaint December 16, 2004 – Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss the State of Montana’s Second Amended Complaint (leave to file granted December 21, 2004) June 16, 2005 – Motion referred to Magistrate Judge Marianne B. Bowler. Motion hearing held on July 14, 2005
Nevada v. American Home Products, Inc. et al (“Nevada II”)	02-CV-12086-PBS	Removed to D. Nev.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> November 24, 2004 – Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Motion to Dismiss the State of Nevada’s Amended Complaint <i>sub judice</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> December 8, 2004 – State of Nevada’s Opposition to Motion to Dismiss the State of Nevada’s Second Amended Complaint December 16, 2004 – Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss the State of Nevada’s Second Amended Complaint (leave to file granted December 21, 2004) June 16, 2005 – Motion referred to Magistrate Judge Marianne B. Bowler. Motion hearing held on July 14, 2005
California <i>ex rel.</i> Ven-A-Care of the Florida Keys, Inc. v. Abbott Labs., Inc. et al.	03-CV-11226-PBS	Removed to C.D. Cal.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> August 25, 2005 – First Amended Complaint in Intervention for Money Damages and Civil Penalties for Violations of the California False Claims Act September 12, 2005 – Relator Ven-A-Care’s Motion For Leave to File Under Seal Relator’s Notice of Election and Notice of Dismissal October 6, 2005 – Motion for Entry of Joint Stipulation to Stay the Deadline for Defendant Abbott Laboratories Inc. to Respond to the First Amended Complaint in Intervention

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
<p>Illinois v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., Case No. 05-C-4056;</p> <p>Kentucky v. Abbott Laboratories, Inc., Case No. 3:05-CV-48;</p> <p>Kentucky v. Warrick Pharmaceuticals Corp., Case No. 05-CV-49;</p> <p>Kentucky v. Alpharma Inc., Case No. 05-CV-47-KKC;</p> <p>New York v. Aventis, Case No. 05-CV-873;</p> <p>New York v. GlaxoSmithKline PLC, Case No. 05-CV-874;</p> <p>New York v. Pharmacia Corp., Case No. 05-CV-872;</p> <p>Florida v. Alpharma, Inc., Case No. 05-257</p>	n/a	<p>Removed to N.D. Ill.</p> <p>Removed to E.D. Ky.</p> <p>Removed to E.D. Ky.</p> <p>Removed to E.D. Ky.</p> <p>Removed to N.D.N.Y.</p> <p>Removed to N.D.N.Y.</p> <p>Removed to N.D.N.Y.</p> <p>Removed to N.D. FL</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> July 13, 2005 – Notices of Removal filed (based on <i>Grable & Sons Metal Prods., Inc. v. Darue Eng'g & Mfg.</i>, 125 S. Ct. 2363 (June 13, 2005)) July 15, 2005 – Notice of Related Action filed with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> July 18, 2005 – Amended Notice of Related Action filed with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation August 9, 2005 – Conditional Transfer Order 25 Tag-Along Actions by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation with Notice of Opposition Due On or Before August 24, 2005 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> September 7, 2005 – Motion to Vacate Conditional Transfer Order for Illinois v. Abbott Laboratories (05-4056), Kentucky v. Alpharma Inc. (05-47), Kentucky v. Abbott Laboratories (05-48), Kentucky v. Warrick Pharmaceuticals (05-49), and Wisconsin v. Abbott Laboratories (05-408) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> September 27, 2005 – Opposition to Motion to Vacate Conditional Transfer Order for Illinois v. Abbott Laboratories (05-4056), Kentucky v. Alpharma Inc. (05-47), Kentucky v. Abbott Laboratories (05-48), Kentucky v. Warrick Pharmaceuticals (05-49), and Wisconsin v. Abbott Laboratories (05-408) October 10, 2005 – Reply Brief of the States of Illinois and Kentucky in Support of Their Motion to Vacate Conditional Transfer Order 25 September 8, 2005 – Motion to Vacate Conditional Transfer Order for New York v. Pharmacia Corp. (05-872), New York v. Aventis (05-873), and New York v. GlaxoSmithKline PLC (05-874) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> September 28, 2005 – Opposition to Motion to Vacate Conditional Transfer Order for <i>New York v. Pharmacia Corp. (05-872)</i>, <i>New York v. Aventis (05-873)</i>, and <i>New York v. GlaxoSmithKline PLC (05-874)</i> Motion to Remand in Florida v. Alpharma, Inc. (05-257) filed but not briefed prior to transfer <ul style="list-style-type: none"> September 16, 2005 – Plaintiffs' Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Remand filed in D. Mass. October 14, 2005 – Defendants' Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand to State Circuit

GOVERNMENT ENTITY CASES	MDL DOCKET NUMBER	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
			Court
County of Nassau v. Abbott Labs., Inc. et al.	n/a	E.D.N.Y.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No pending motions
County of Erie v. Abbott Labs., Inc. et al.	n/a	W.D.N.Y.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Motion to Remand filed in W.D.N.Y. prior to transfer (not fully briefed due to stay order)
Consolidated County Case: City of New York (S.D.N.Y.) County of Albany (N.D.N.Y.) County of Allegany (W.D.N.Y.) County of Broome (N.D.N.Y.) County of Cattaraugus (W.D.N.Y.) County of Cayuga (N.D.N.Y.) County of Chautauqua (W.D.N.Y.) County of Chenango (N.D.N.Y.) County of Fulton (N.D.N.Y.) County of Genesee (W.D.N.Y.) County of Greene (N.D.N.Y.) County of Herkimer (N.D.N.Y.) County of Jefferson (N.D.N.Y.) County of Madison (N.D.N.Y.) County of Monroe (W.D.N.Y.) County of Niagara (N.D.N.Y.) County of Oneida (N.D.N.Y.) County of Onondaga (N.D.N.Y.) County of Putnam (S.D.N.Y.) County of Rensselaer (N.D.N.Y.) County of Rockland (S.D.N.Y.) County of St. Lawrence (N.D.N.Y.) County of Saratoga (N.D.N.Y.) County of Steuben (W.D.N.Y.) County of Suffolk (E.D.N.Y.) County of Tompkins (N.D.N.Y.) County of Warren (N.D.N.Y.) County of Washington (N.D.N.Y.) County of Wayne (W.D.N.Y.) County of Westchester (S.D.N.Y.) County of Yates (W.D.N.Y.)	n/a	E.D.N.Y. N.D.N.Y. S.D.N.Y. W.D.N.Y.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> March 30, 2005 – County of Suffolk’s Motion to Renew Its Second Motion to Compel the Production of Discovery From the Schering-Plough Corporation <i>sub judice</i> (Magistrate Judge Bowler) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> April 6, 2005 – Schering Plough’s Opposition to County of Suffolk’s Motion to Renew Its Second Motion to Compel the Production of Discovery June 15, 2005 – Redacted Consolidated Complaint filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts <ul style="list-style-type: none"> June 15, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Motion for Leave to File Consolidated Complaint Under Seal June 22, 2005 – Corrected Consolidated Complaint filed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts June 23, 2005 – Motion for Leave to File Consolidated Complaint Under Seal Denied. Defendants seeking redactions ordered to file affidavits within ten days. July 8, 2005 – Order modifying June 23, 2005 Order and extending time to file affidavits. October 4, 2005 – Defendants’ Request for Extension of Time and Further Relief in Order to Comply with July 8, 2005 Order <ul style="list-style-type: none"> October 19, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Response to Defendants’ Request for Extension of Time and Further Relief in Order to Comply with July 8, 2005 Order, Filed Under Seal

MDL 1456 STATUS CHART – PRIVATE CLASS CASES

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action	Master File 01-CV-12257-PBS	D. Mass. pursuant to JPML Order	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May 3, 2004 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel The Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period from Defendants Abbott Laboratories, AstraZeneca, Schering Plough, Sicor and Together Rx Defendants <i>sub judice</i> (Magistrate Judge Bowler) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ May 17, 2004 – Certain Defendants’ Notice of Opposition to Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Certain Documents and Consent Motion for an Extension of Time ○ May 26, 2004 – Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period Directed at Defendant Schering–Plough (<i>Note: withdrawal applies only to Schering-Plough</i>) ○ May 27, 2004 – Consent Motion for an Extension of Time to Oppose the Motion to Compel ○ May 27, 2004 – Opposition of the Together Rx Defendants to Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Documents ○ May 28, 2004 – Notice Of Withdrawal Of Plaintiffs’ Motion To Compel The Production Of Documents Created During The Relevant Time Period Directed at Defendant Abbott Laboratories (<i>Note: withdrawal applies only to Abbott Laboratories</i>) ○ June 8, 2004 – Notice of Withdrawal of Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel the Production of Documents Created During the Relevant Time Period Directed at Defendant AstraZeneca. (<i>Note: withdrawal applies only to AstraZeneca</i>) ○ June 9, 2004 – Plaintiffs’ Reply Memorandum In Support of Motion To Compel The Production of Documents Created During The Relevant Time Period From The Together Rx Defendants ○ June 22, 2004 – Order granting Plaintiffs’ Motion to Withdraw Motion to Compel Directed at Abbott Laboratories ○ Awaiting scheduling of hearing or ruling by Court as to Together Rx Defendants. • February 23, 2005 – Third Party Schaller Anderson Inc.’s Objection and Motion to Quash Plaintiffs’ Subpoena • March 21, 2005 – B. Braun Medical Inc.’s Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Master Consolidated Class Action Complaint <i>sub judice</i> (Magistrate Judge Bowler)

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ April 4, 2005 – Class Plaintiffs’ Opposition to B. Braun Medical Inc.’s Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Master Consolidated Class Action Complaint ○ April 27, 2005 – B. Braun Medical Inc.’s Reply Memorandum in Support of Its Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Master Consolidated Class Action Complaint ○ June 7, 2005 – Motion referred to Magistrate Judge Marianne B. Bowler ○ June 17, 2005 – Class Plaintiffs’ Notice of Supplemental Authority in Support of Their Opposition to B. Braun Medical Inc.’s Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Master Consolidated Class Action Complaint ○ June 28, 2005 – B. Braun Medical Inc.’s Response to Plaintiffs’ Notice of Supplemental Authority in Support of Their Opposition to B. Braun Medical Inc.’s Motion to Dismiss the Second Amended Master Consolidated Class Action Complaint ○ Motion hearing held on July 14, 2005 • May 23, 2005 – B. Braun Medical Inc.’s Motion for a Protective Order Staying Discovery Pending Resolution of its Motion to Dismiss <i>sub judice</i> (Magistrate Judge Bowler) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ June 6, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Opposition to B. Braun Medical Inc.’s Motion for a Protective Order Staying Discovery Pending Resolution of its Motion to Dismiss • June 14, 2005 – Defendant Sicom Inc. and Sicom Pharmaceuticals, Inc.’s Motion for Protective Order with Memorandum in Support <i>sub judice</i> (Magistrate Judge Bowler) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ June 28, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Response to Sicom’s Motion for Protective Order ○ July 7, 2005 – Motion for Leave to File Reply to Plaintiffs’ Response to Sicom’s Motion for Protective Order • August 26, 2005 – Empire Blue Cross Blue Shield’s Motion to Quash Subpoena Seeking Deposition Testimony and For a Protective Order <i>sub judice</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ September 9, 2005 – Defendants’ Memorandum in Opposition to Empire Blue Cross Blue Shield’s Motion to Quash Subpoena Seeking Deposition Testimony and For a Protective Order

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ September 20, 2005 – Non Party Empire Blue Cross Blue Shield's Assented to Motion for Leave to File Reply Memorandum of Law In Further Support of Motion to Quash Subpoena Seeking Deposition Testimony and for Protective Order ○ September 20, 2005 – Non Party Empire Blue Cross Blue Shield's Memorandum in Further Support of its Motion to Quash Subpoena Seeking Deposition Testimony and for a Protective Order • August 30, 2005 – Track 1 Defendants' Petition for Review of Memorandum and Order Dated August 16, 2005 Regarding Class Certification (Filed with the Court of Appeals for the First Circuit) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ September 15, 2005 – Plaintiffs' Answer in Opposition to Track I Defendants' Petition for Permission to Appeal from Memorandum and Order August 16, 2005 • September 8, 2005 – Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Expert Witness Disclosures and Discovery <i>sub judice</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ September 14, 2005 – Track One Defendants' Response to Plaintiffs' Motion to Extend Expert Witness Disclosures and Discovery ○ October 11, 2005 – Notice of Hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Extension of Time to Extend Expert Witness Disclosures and Discovery Set for November 9, 2005 before Magistrate Judge Marianne B. Bowler • September 21, 2005 – Johnson & Johnson Defendants' Motion to Determine the Sufficiency of Plaintiffs' Responses to (1) Requests for Admissions and Interrogatories Concerning Remicaid, and (2) Requests for Admissions and Interrogatories Concerning Procrit <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ October 7, 2005 – Plaintiffs' Response to Johnson & Johnson's Motion to Determine the Sufficiency of Responses to Request for Admissions and Interrogatories Concerning Remicaide and Procrit ○ October 11, 2005 – Notice of Hearing on Johnson & Johnson Defendants' Motion to Determine the Sufficiency of Responses to Request for Admissions and Interrogatories Concerning Remicaide and Procrit Set for November 9, 2005 before Magistrate Judge Marianne B. Bowler • September 27, 2005 – Non-Parties and Absent Class Members Blue Cross

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<p>and Blue Shield of Vermont, Carefirst Blue Cross Blue Shield, Hawaii Medical Service Association, Excellus Blue Cross Blue Shield, Mutual of Omaha Insurance Company and Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts' Motion and Incorporated Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion to Quash Subpoenas and for a Protective Order</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ October 11, 2005 – Defendants' Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Motion to Quash Subpoenas and for a Protective Order Filed by Third Party Health Plans Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Vermont, Carefirst Blue Cross Blue Shield, Hawaii Medical Service Association, Excellus Blue Cross Blue Shield, Mutual of Omaha Insurance Company and Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts ○ October 24, 2005 – Notice of Hearing on Third Party Motion to Quash Subpoenas and for Protective Order Set for November 9, 2005 before Magistrate Judge Marianne B. Bowler <p>• September 27, 2005 – Motion by Johnson & Johnson, Centocor Inc., Kenneth Wegner, Colin Korschak, Grace Leone, Trina Gillies, Jim Bivona and Brett Beiter to Quash Subpoenas and for a Protective Order Prohibiting Plaintiffs from taking Discovery of Former Centocor Employees Subpoenaed After the Discovery Date Cutoff</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ October 11, 2005 – Plaintiffs' Response to Motion to Quash Subpoenas and for Protective Order Concerning Discovery of Former Centocor Employees ○ October 24, 2005 – Notice of Hearing on Motion to Quash Subpoenas and for a Protective Order Prohibiting Plaintiffs from taking Discovery of Former Centocor Employees Set for November 9, 2005 before Magistrate Judge Marianne B. Bowler ○ October 14, 2005 – Defendants' Motion for Leave to File Reply Brief <p>• September 28, 2005 – Motion by Plaintiffs to Modify the Track Two Discovery Schedule</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ October 6, 2005 – Track Two Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion to Modify the Track Two Discovery Schedule and Cross Motion for Entry of Case Management Order 16 ○ October 11, 2005 – Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Combined Reply Memorandum in Support of Motion to Modify the Track Two Discovery Schedule and Opposition to Defendants' Cross Motion ○ October 11, 2005 – Plaintiffs' Reply Memorandum in Support of

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<p>Motion to Modify the Track Two Discovery Schedule and Memorandum in Opposition to Cross Motion for Entry of Case Management Order 16</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ October 11, 2005 – Notice of Hearing on Motion by Plaintiffs’ to Modify the Track Two Schedule Set for November 9, 2005 before Magistrate Judge Marianne B. Bowler • October 11, 2005 – Track One Defendants’ Motion to Preclude Plaintiffs’ Expert Evidence on Liability or for Other Sanctions <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ October 25, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Track One Defendants’ Motion to Preclude Plaintiffs’ Expert Evidence on Liability or for Other Sanctions • October 12, 2005 – Defendants’ Motion to Compel Third Party United Healthcare to Produce Documents and Witnesses for Deposition Pursuant to Subpoena <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ October 26, 2005 – United Healthcare, Inc. and United Healthcare Insurance Company’s Consolidated Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendants’ Motion to Compel • October 17, 2005 – Third Amended Master Consolidated Class Action Complaint Amended to Comply with Court’s Class Certification Order <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ October 17, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Proposed Consolidated Order Re: Motion for Class Certification ○ October 18, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Notice of Filing Under Seal Third Amended Master consolidated Class Action Complaint ○ October 31, 2005 – Joint Stipulation and Proposed Order re Track Two Defendants’ Answer to Plaintiffs’ Third Amended Master Consolidated Complaint • October 19, 2005 – Defendant Aventis Pharmaceuticals Inc.’s Motion for a Protective Order Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(C)(1), 30(a)(2)(A) and Local Rule 26.1(C) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ October 27, 2005 – Defendant Aventis Pharmaceuticals Inc.’s Motion for Entry of Protective Order ○ October 27, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Response to Defendant Aventis’ Motion for Entry of Protective Order • October 21, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Production of IMS Data

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
Master Consolidated Class Action (continued)			<p>and Reports</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> October 28, 2005 – Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Production by Amgen, Inc.
<p>International Union of Operating Engineers, Local No. 68 Welfare Fund v. AstraZeneca PLC et al.</p> <p>International Union of Operating Engineers, Local No. 68 Welfare Fund v. AstraZeneca PLC et al (continued)</p>	04-11503-PBS	Removed to D.N.J.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Motion to Remand filed in District of New Jersey prior to transfer; briefed while pending in District of New Jersey <ul style="list-style-type: none"> April 22, 2005 – Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion for Remand by Plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers Local No. 68 Welfare Fund May 3, 2005 – Rejection of Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion for Remand by Plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers Local No. 68 Welfare Fund May 6, 2005 – Supplemental Brief in Opposition to Motion for Remand by Plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers Local No. 68 Welfare Fund due to be filed June 1, 2005 – Supplemental Brief in Support of Motion for Remand by Plaintiff International Union of Operating Engineers Local No. 68 Welfare Fund re-filed July 20, 2005 – Notice of Supplemental Authority August 19, 2005 – Memorandum and Order: AstraZeneca Shall Submit an Affidavit Explaining Factual Basis for Statement in Notice of Removal within 30 days; and All Discovery on Fraudulent Joinder Claims Shall be Completed within 60 days September 19, 2005 – Response of AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals LP (Attaching Declaration of Scott Wise with Exhibits) Filed in Response to Court Order Dated August 19, 2005 September 29, 2005 – Motion by Plaintiff, International Union of Operating Engineers, Local No. 68 Welfare Fund for Immediate Remand Based Upon Declarations of Defense Counsel or, in the Alternative, Motion to Reconsider Memorandum and Order of August 19, 2005 October 12, 2005 – Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Motion by Plaintiff, International Union of Operating Engineers, Local No. 68 Welfare Fund for Immediate Remand Based Upon Declarations of Defense Counsel or, in the Alternative, Motion to Reconsider Memorandum and Order of August 19, 2005 September 30, 2005 – Motion to Quash

PRIVATE CLASS CASES	MDL DOCKET NO.	ORIGINAL JURISDICTION	STATUS
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ October 11, 2005 – Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Defendant Dr. Stanley C. Hopkins’ Motion to Quash ○ October 24, 2005 – Notice of Hearing on Motion to Quash Set for November 9, 2005 before Magistrate Judge Marianne B. Bowler • October 28, 2005 – Notice of Voluntary Dismissal of Lupron Related Claims with Prejudice by Plaintiff, International Union of Operating Engineers, Local No. 68 Welfare Fund
Government Employees Hospital Association v. Sero International, S.A. et al	05-11935-NMG	D. MA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • September 23, 2005 – Class Action Complaint filed • October 31, 2005 – Order case consolidated with 01-cv-12257